2074

Quantitative measurements of decreased arterial collateralization and branching in peripheral artery disease.

Niranjan Balu¹, Li Chen², Thoetphum Benyakorn¹, Daniel S Hippe¹, Henrik Haraldsson³, Warren Gasper³, David Saloner³, Chun Yuan¹, and Thomas Hatsukami⁴

¹Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ²Electrical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ³University of California SanFrancisco, San Francisco, CA, United States, ⁴Surgery, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

Synopsis

The extent of collateralization/branching (CB) of lower limb vessels in peripheral artery disease (PAD) can inform risk of ischemia and response to revascularization. However quantitative imaging metrics of CB have not been assessed in the setting of severe PAD. We developed automated quantitative measurements of lower limb vascular morphology (pCafe) and compared CB in patients undergoing revascularization for PAD. Assessment of pCafe metrics in severe PAD suggests CB is increased with occlusion compared to stenosis indicating compensatory CB development.

Background

PAD due to atherosclerosis of the superficial femoral artery (SFA) can cause limb threating ischemia. However, the extent of collateralization/branching (CB) can ameliorate the symptoms [1] and also determine the success of revascularization procedures. Therefore, knowledge of the individual patient's CB can help stratify ischemia risk and guide revascularization/treatments. However quantitative imaging measurements of CB and its effects in severe PAD are limited [1]. The aim of this study is to compare CB in different degrees of SFA patency using an automated quantitative measurement of lower limb vessel morphology.

Methods

Study subjects and procedures: Ten patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD) scheduled for lower extremity endovascular reconstruction were recruited at two different institutions. Imaging procedures followed institutional IRB guidelines and informed consent was obtained from subjects prior to the scan. MRI scans: Subjects were scanned on either 3T Siemens Skyra or Philips 3T Ingenia scanners. Single station first pass 3D CEMRA was obtained after single dose gadolinium contrast injection (Prohance or Gadavist) covering the lower thigh and knee bilaterally using surface phased array coils. Similar scan parameters were used on both platforms, namely: TR/TE = 4.56/2.195 ms, flip angle = 20°, in-plane resolution = 0.81 mm×0.81 mm, slice thickness = 3 mm, field of view = 430 mm*430 mm. Collateral and branch artery quantification: One subject was excluded due to insufficient image quality. Peripheral arterial feature extraction (pCafe) was based on centerline tracing [2]. Briefly, CEMRA was resampled to 0.81 mm isotropic resolution followed by Nyul intensity normalization [3] and arterial centerline tracing [4]. An expert reader then labeled key landmark points of major arteries namely superficial femoral artery (SFA) and profunda femoris (PA). In cases of SFA stenosis, the site of stenosis was labeled. In cases of SFA occlusion, proximal and distal SFA segments were labeled (distal labeled if present). Arterial branches besides the SFA and PA segments were then automatically labeled as collaterals/branches (CB) (figure 1). Both collaterals and branches were included in this category since the intent was to examine whether total branches distal to occlusion/stenosis was increased. Based on the centerlines and labels, length, radius and number of branches of each segment were automatically calculated (list provided in table 1). Statistical analysis: Arteries from each leg (18 legs in total) were considered separately. Based on SFA patency on the original CEMRA, lower limbs were classified into those with no-stenosis, stenosed or occluded SFA. Representative examples of each type are shown in figure 2. Each of the pCafe metrics was compared using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between ordered stenosis group (None, Stenosis, Occluded). Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare the nostenosis and occluded arteries. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Collateral/branch quantification was achieved in all cases. There was marked individual variation in collateral/branch appearances. There were statistically significant differences in SFA length and average radius between the three groups with decreased length and radius in occluded arteries compared to arteries with no stenosis (length 335 ± 49 mm vs 252 ± 82 mm, p<0.05; radius 2.9 ± 0.7 vs 2.4 ± 0.2 mm, p<0.05). Comparing arteries with stenosis and occlusions, we found a trend towards increased volume, length and number of branches in CB in both SFA and PA when the artery was occluded as opposed to those with only stenosis (table 2).

Discussion

pCafe metrics showed decreased SFA length and radius as expected in patent SFA compared to SFA with stenosis. There was a trend for increased CB (length, radius and number of branches) in legs with occluded SFA when compared to limbs with stenosis. With chronic occlusion, collateralization is known to increase. pCafe metrics also show this to be the case and the increased CB in these cases suggest that these limbs may be at lower risk of functional impairment. This is the first study to compare objective quantitative measurements of lower limb CB for stratification of stenosis and occlusion. Our sample size was restricted due to the specific type of patients recruited (PAD subjects scheduled for revascularization). Due to the small sample sizes, standard statistical methods which treat all observations as independent were used (e.g., Wilcoxon rank-sum test, Spearman's rank correlation). Additional subject inclusion will also help to study patient level differences in collateralization/perfusion using iCafe metrics and relation to patient symptoms.

Conclusions

In PAD with compromised SFA (stenosis/occlusion) there was a trend towards increased CB with occlusion compared to stenosis. Our results suggest that CB quantification by pCafe may be useful in stratification of perfusion to lower limb tissues in PAD.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by grants from R01HL128816, R01HL103609 and R01NS092207

References

[1] McDermott MM, Carr J, Liu K, Kramer CM, Yuan C, Tian L, Criqui MH, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Zhao L, Xu D, Kibbe M, Berry J, Carroll TJ., Collateral vessel number, plaque burden, and functional decline in peripheral artery disease., Vasc Med. 2014 Aug;19(4):281-288. Epub 2014 Jul 21.

[2] Chen L, Mossa-Basha M, Balu N, et al. Development of a quantitative intracranial vascular features extraction tool on 3D MRA using semiautomated opencurve active contour vessel tracing. Magn Reson Med. 2018;79(6):3229-3238. doi:10.1002/mrm.26961.

[3] Nyul LG, Udupa JK, Zhang X. New variants of a method of MRI scale standardization. IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2000;19(2):143-150. doi:10.1109/42.836373.

[4] Wang Y, Narayanaswamy A, Tsai CL, Roysam B. A broadly applicable 3-D neuron tracing method based on open-curve snake. Neuroinformatics. 2011;9(2-3):193-217. doi:10.1007/s12021-011-9110-5.

Figures

pCafe Metric	Definition				
Superficial Femoral	Average radius of all SFA segments (more than one				
Radius	segment if occluded).				
Superficial Femoral	Volume/length of all SFA segments (more than one segment				
volume/length	if occluded).				
Profunda Radius	Average radius of all Profunda segments.				
Profunda volume/length	Volume/length of all Profunda segments.				
Volume/length/radius of	Volume/length/radius of all collaterals or branch arteries				
SFA collaterals/branches	originating from SFA.				
Number of SFA collaterals/branches	Number of all traces labeled as collaterals/branches of SFA.				
Volume/length/radius of	Volume/length/radius of all collaterals or branch arteries				
PA collaterals/branches	originating from Profunda Artery.				
Number of PA	Number of all traces labeled as collaterals/branches of				
collaterals/branches	Profunda Artery.				

Table 1: Definition of pCafe metrics evaluated.

Variable		SFA Stenosis Group					Correlation	
	AII (N-18)	None (N+9)	Stenotic (N=3)	Occluded (N=6)	N vs. O P-value*	\$ vs. 0 P-value*	Spearman's	
							Rho†	P-value
SFA								
Volume, mm ³								
Length, mm	305 ± 70	335 ± 49	331 ± 35	252 ± 82	0.045	0.20	-0.39	0.11
Average radius, mm	2.7 ± 0.6	2.9 ± 0.7	2.7 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.2	0.025	0.30	-0.51	0.030
PA								
Volume, mm ³								
Length, mm								
Average radius, mm	2.4 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.3	0.95	0.65	-0.02	0.93
SFA CB								
Volume, mm ³	5876 ± 4998	7031 ± 6684	2958 ± 2421	5603 ± 1876	>0.99	0.12	0.01	0.96
Length, mm	984 ± 643	1034 ± 856	661 ± 453	1071 ± 272	0.56	0.20	0.15	0.56
Number of branches	31 ± 20	32 ± 24	20 ± 15	36 ± 14	0.68	0.24	0.08	0.75
PA CB								
Volume, mm ³	8485 ± 5870	7944 ± 6705	5387 ± 1666	10847 ± 5578	0.16	0.071	0.36	0.14
Length, mm	1551 ± 738	1331 ± 561	1153 ± 431	2081 ± 870	0.077	0.071	0.39	0.11
Number of branches	47 ± 23	40 ± 17	33 ± 10	64 ± 28	0.12	0.20	0.33	0.18
SFA + PA CB								
Volume, mm ³	14362 ± 9652	14975 ± 12363	8345 ± 3310	16450 ± 6340	0.29	0.071	0.21	0.40
Length, mm	2535 ± 1166	2365 ± 1243	1813 ± 709	3152 ± 1059	0.099	0.071	0.36	0.15
Number of branches	78 ± 38	72 ± 35	53 ± 21	100 ± 41	0.22	0.092	0.25	0.32

"Wilcoxon rank-sum test comparing the two groups; no adjustments for the number of comparisons; †Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between ordered stenosis group (N, S, O) and the <u>pCAFE</u> metric

Table 2: Summary of pCafe metrics and comparison between stenosis groups.



Figure 1: pCafe artery tracings in a PAD subjects with no stenosis of SFA. The CEMRA (left panel) was used to derive artery morphometry (middle panel). The main arteries (SFA and Profunda) are shown in red, the SFA collaterals/branches (CB) is shown blue and the Profunda CB is shown in purple.



https://index.mirasmart.com/ISMRM2019/PDFfiles/2074.html

Figure 2: Representative arterial morphometry from each of the three groups of PAD based on the patency of SFA: No stenosis (patent SFA), stenosed SFA and occluded SFA. Arrows show locations of stenosis or occlusions.

Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. 27 (2019) 2074